

PRESENTER



Francis Cooke QC, Wellington

Francis has an LLB with honours from Victoria University, and a first class masters degree from Cambridge University. After periods with Ashurst Morris Crisp in London and Chapman Tripp in Wellington, he commenced practice as a barrister in 1993, and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2004. He specialises in public and commercial law. A major part of his practice is made up of judicial review cases, acting both for and against public bodies.

Acknowledgement

This booklet updates an earlier workshop booklet originally prepared by Mary Scholtens QC in 1999, as revised by her in 2004. This is essentially a further revision of her work. In addition, the source of much of the material on "How to do it", and Appendices B and C, is an earlier NZLS seminar on Judicial Review which Mary presented with Paul Radich. The information and materials in those sections is the work of Paul and Tristran Gilbertson, with, again, minor updating

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION: WHAT IS JUDICIAL REVIEW?	1
2. THE PLACE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW IN NEW ZEALAND'S CONSTITUTION	5
3. USE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW BY INTEREST GROUPS FOR TACTICAL ADVANTAGE	9
4. LEGAL PRINCIPLES - THE GROUNDS FOR REVIEW	11
A. ILLEGALITY (ACTING OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE POWER)	11
(A1) ERROR OF LAW	12
<i>Example:</i>	12
(A2) IMPROPER PURPOSE	12
<i>Example:</i>	12
(A3) RELEVANT/IRRELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS	13
<i>Example:</i>	14
<i>Another example:</i>	14
(A4) MISTAKE OF FACT	14
<i>Example:</i>	15
(A5) RIGID APPLICATION OF PRE-DETERMINED POLICY	15
<i>Example:</i>	15
(A6) ACTING UNDER DICTATION	16
<i>Example:</i>	16
(A7) INVALID DELEGATION	16
<i>Example:</i>	16
<i>Another example:</i>	17
(A8) ULTRA VIRES REGULATIONS	17
<i>Example:</i>	17
(B) UNFAIRNESS/PROCEDURAL PROPRIETY	17
<i>Example:</i>	18
<i>Another example:</i>	18
<i>Example:</i>	18
<i>Another example</i>	19
(C) UNREASONABLENESS	19
5. AVOIDING JUDICIAL REVIEW -THE BENEFIT OF GOOD PROCEDURES	21
THE LAW SHOULD COME FIRST	21
EFFECTIVE CONSULTATION WHICH FULFILS THE DUTY TO ACT FAIRLY	21
GETTING THE PAPERWORK RIGHT	22
THE ROLE OF THE LEGAL ADVISER	23
A "GOOD PROCESS" MODEL	24
6. THE COURT PROCESS - SUMMARY	27
7. JUDICIAL REVIEW: HOW TO DO IT	29
RECOMMENDED PRIMARY RESOURCES	29
<i>Procedure</i>	29
<i>Substantive law</i>	29
KICKING OFF: THE PLEADINGS	29
<i>Justiciability</i>	29
<i>Assessing the sufficiency of your information</i>	29
JURISDICTION	30
INTITULMENT	30
PARTIES	31
STATEMENT OF CLAIM	32
PARTIES	33
GOVERNING INSTRUMENT	33
SUBJECT MATTER	34

SEQUENCE OF EVENTS	34
DECISION SUBJECT TO REVIEW	34
GROUND FOR RELIEF	34
REMEDY	35
WHAT ELSE TO FILE?	35
<i>Notice of proceeding</i>	35
<i>Supporting affidavits</i>	36
<i>Statement of defence</i>	36
<i>Service</i>	36
APPLICATION FOR INTERIM ORDERS	36
INTERLOCUTORY PROCEDURES	37
EVIDENCE	38
PROCEDURE AT HEARING	39
OUTCOME	41
APPENDIX A	43
DECISION-MAKING CHECKLISTS	43
APPENDIX B.....	45
TABLE COMPARING INTERLOCUTORY PROCEDURES : s 10(2) JAA AND HIGH COURT RULES.....	45
APPENDIX C	49
PRECEDENTS	49
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	49
APPENDIX D	65
JUDICATURE AMENDMENT ACT 1972	65